



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,998	01/17/2002	Nicholas J. Elsey	41698-1036	9128	
7590 06/09/2004			EXAMINER		
Alex L. Yip				CHOULES, JACK M	
	Kaye Scholer LLP 425 Park Avenue			PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY	10022	2177			
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004	' 5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{\nabla}$
•	10/051,998	ELSEY ET AL.	ar ar
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jack M Choules	2177	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addre	!ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTi atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comm	nunication.
Status			
1)	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-92 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-92 are subject to restriction and/ 	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 March 2002 is/ard Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been received.	pplication No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)	∧ □	(DTC 112)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-15) -	.2)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/051,998

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-92 are presented for examination.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-28 and 51-74, drawn to remote data collection using data found in the processing of the communication in a response, classified in class 707, subclass 10.
 - II. Claim 29-39 and 75-84, drawn to evaluation of performance, classified in class702, subclass 182.
 - III. Claim 40-50 and 85-92, drawn to augmenting a query, classified in class 707, subclass 5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination or at least inventions which could be used in the same environment note some of the dependent claims are related to the environment The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as the requesting of information through a distant source using part of the data from processing of the communication in answering the request, it does not need the performance monitoring detailed in Group II or the query expansion detailed in Group III.

Art Unit: 2177

Likewise the performance monitoring of Group II has separate utility from the specific information response based on communication data for response to user request or the Term addition of Group III. Similarly, invention III has separate utility such as The specific term expansion of claim III, has utility in a general search system not requiring the key elements of Group I and II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Alex L. Yip on 4 June 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made as the applicant needed more time to decide which group of claims to elect.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/051,998 Page 4

Art Unit: 2177

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This art is felt to be relevant to the case, fond during quick search to help with restriction decision is enclosed to give the applicant an idea of what I found so far. Further searching may turn up more relevant art

Gupta	US 6,122,361 A	Thought to relate to group I.
Lee Jr. et al.	US 6,640,143 B1	Thought to relate to group II.
Cullis	US 6 539 377 R1	Thought to relate to group III

Page 5

Art Unit: 2177

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack M Choules whose telephone number is (703) 305-9840. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack M Choules Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2177